IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.6093 of 2008
SANJAY BARNWAL
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR
---

3 9.5.2008 Heard counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner is an accused in a case under section 302/34 of the Penal Code.

It is alleged by the informant that while she was going along with her mother-in-law Parmila Devi, the accused persons along with the petitioner variously armed with weapons abducted her mother-in-law and her dead body was recovered from the field of Anarwa Devi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one Raju Yadav husband of accused Anarwa Devi was killed earlier in which the deceased Parmila Devi was an accused. He further submits that the petitioner has been made accused in this case on account of suspicion. He submits that after the occurrence the informant went to her village and informed the villagers about the occurrence but none of the villagers stated that the informant told the name of the petitioner in the alleged offence. The petitioner is in custody since 29.6.2007.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Learned counsel for the State while opposing the prayer for bail has stated that the petitioner has been named as an accused in the F.I.R. and in the subsequent statement of the informant.

Having considered the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. His prayer for bail is rejected for the present. However, he may renew his prayer for bail after four months.

(S.P.Singh,J)

KHAN

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL